

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/512,103	05/24/2005	Nikolaus Farber	P16687-US1	6851	
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 02/17/201 NC	0	EXAMINER		
6300 LEGAC	Y DRIVE		FAHNERT, FRIEDRICH		
M/S EVR 1-C PLANO, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ILMO, IN 19924			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/17/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/512,103	FARBER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FRIEDRICH FAHNERT	2614	

	FRIEDRICH FAHNERT	2614						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) application (4) appli	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In xwever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(xaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO IONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee area been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	liance with 37 CED 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i They raise new issues that would require further contains the issue of paymenter (see NOTE helps). They raise the issue of paymenter (see NOTE helps).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. Me For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or memended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 20-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 433(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
NEGUEST FOR RECOVERIBERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614	/FRIEDRICH FAHNER Examiner, Art Unit 2614	T/						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Claim 1, Tseng discloses receiving from the distant side, in a first initial request to bypass transcoding operations, information about an encoding mark currently in use on the distant side and about encoding capabilities of the distant side (Tseng, col. 2, lines 52-55, "transmitting the signal on a voice channel between elements of a communication network to identify the capabilities of the terminating communication element."); and, transmitting to the distant side, in a second initial request to bypass transcoding operations, information about an encoding format currently in use on the local side and about encoding capabilities of the local side (Tseng, Fig. 2, "LF Tone (indicate cross transcoding capabilities including a version of a bypassing protocol supported by the respective transcoder, to enable on one or on both sides a change of the encoding format currently in use prior to initiating the bypassing of the transcoding operations (Tseng, col. 3, lines 39-41, "Tones having different frequencies can be sent by the terminating element and received by the originating element according to the present invention to identify and ascertain multiple capabilities of the originating and terminating elements according to the present invention to identify and ascertain multiple capabilities of the originating and terminating elements to accluding cross transcoding, and smart transcoding; "the capabilities of the originating and terminating elements to accluding cross transcoding, and smart transcoding; it is expabilities of the originating and terminating elements to according to the present invention to identify and ascertain multiple capabilities of the originating and terminating elements to according to the present invention to identify and ascertain multiple capabilities of the originating and the transcoding and smart transcoding; the capabilities of the originating and the originating and the originating and the